# **Electronic Institutions**

Marc Esteva



Artificial Intelligence Research Institute (IIIA), CSIC, Spain



**Overview** 



- Introduction
- II. Specifying electronic institutions
- III. Running electronic institutions
- V. Electronic Institutions Development Environment
- V. Conclusions



- Research issue: design and development of methodologies and software tools to support the design, verification, deployment, and analysis of open multi-agent systems.
- Open multi-agent systems are populated by *heterogeneous*, *self-interested* agents, developed by different people, using different languages and architectures. Participants *change* over time and are unknown in advance.
- With the expansion of the Internet open multi agent systems represent the most important area of application of multi agent systems.



 Institutions have proved to successfully regulate human societies for a long time:

- created to achieve particular goals while complying norms.
- responsible for defining the rules of the game (norms), to enforce them and assess penalties in case of violation.

 Examples: auction houses, parliaments, stock exchange markets,....



Institutions in the sense proposed by North "... set of artificial constraints that articulate agent interactions".



- Electronic institutions development can be divided into two basic steps:
  - Formal specification of institutional rules.
  - Execution via an infrastructure that mediates agents' interactions while enforcing the institutional rules.
- The formal specification focuses on macrolevel (rules) aspects of agents, not in their microlevel (players) aspects.
- The infrastructure is required to be of general purpose (can *interpret* any formal specification).

**Overview** 



- Introduction
- II. Specifying electronic institutions
- III. Running electronic institutions
- V. Electronic Institutions Development Environment
- V. Conclusions



# Electronic Institution Specification with ISLANDER





# Dialogical Framework Components

Common ontology

Valid communication language expressions

- List of illocutionary particles
- Content language
- Roles that agents can play



# **Communication Language**

- CL expressions are formulae of the form
   (*i* (α<sub>i</sub> r<sub>i</sub>) β γ τ) where:
  - *i* is an illocutionary particle (e.g. request, inform);
  - $\alpha_i$  can be either an agent variable or an agent identifier;
  - $r_i$  can be either a role variable or a role identifier;
  - $\beta$  represents the addressee(s) of the message and can be:
    - $(\alpha_k r_k)$  the message is addressed to a single agent.
    - $r_k$  the message is addressed to all the agents playing role  $r_k$ .
    - "all" the message is addressed to all the agents in the scene.
  - $\gamma$  is an expression in the content language.
  - $\tau$  can be either a time variable or a time-stamp

(request (?x guest) (!y staff) login(?user,?password)



- Each role defines a pattern of behaviour within the institution (actions associated to roles).
- Agents can play multiple roles at the same time
- Agents can change their roles.
- Two types of roles:
  - Internal: played by the staff agents to which the institution delegates its services and tasks.
  - External: played by external agents.
- Role relationships:
  - Static incompatibility (ssd)
  - Dynamic incompatibility (dsd)
  - Hierarchy (sub)
- Information model per role: a set of attributes that define the information that the institution keeps per each role.





# Specification level

- A scene is a pattern of multi-agent interaction.
- Scene protocol specified by a finite state automata where the nodes represent the different states and oriented arcs are labelled with *illocution schemes* or *timeouts*.

# Execution level

- Agents may join or leave scenes.
- Each scene keeps the *context* of its multi-agent interaction.
- A scene can be multiply executed and played by different groups of agents.



### Guest admission scene



×
100
1
0
-
oply



# Guest admission scene. Illocutions



- 1. (request (?x guest) (?y staff) login(?user ?email)) )
- 2. (inform (!y staff) (!x guest) accept()) )
- 3. (failure (!y staff) (!x guest) deny(?code)) )
- 4. (request (?x guest) (!y staff) login(?user ?email)) )
- 5. (inform (!y staff) (all guest) close()) )
- 6. (inform (?y staff) (all guest) close()) )



# Scene Constraints

- Constraints capture how past actions in a scene affect its future evolution:
  - restricting the valid values for a variable
  - restricting the paths that a conversation can follow
- Examples:
  - A buyer can only submit a single bid at auction time.
  - A buyer must submit a bid greater than the last one.
  - An auctioneer can not declare a winner if two buyers have submitted a bid at the higher value.
  - An agent can not repeat an offer during a negotiation process.



- Complex activities can be specified by establishing relationships among scenes that define:
  - causal dependency (e.g. buyers must go through the admission scene before joining an auction room)
  - synchronisation points (e.g. synchronise a buyer and a seller before starting a negotiation scene)
  - parallelisation mechanisms (e.g. buyers can go to multiple auction rooms)
  - choice points (e.g. a buyer leaving an admission scene can choose which auction scene to join)
  - the role flow policy



### Chat Performative Structure



#### **Or transition:** choice point

#### Activity XOr transition: exclusive choice point

And transition: synchronisation and parallelisation point



## Chat Performative Structure



Arcs connecting transitions to scenes determine whether agents join *one*, *some* or *all* current executions of the target scene(s) or whether *new* executions are started.





- Norms define the consequences of agents actions within the institution.
- Such consequences are captured as obligations.
  - Obl(x, $\iota$ ,s): meaning that agent x is obliged to utter  $\iota$  in scene s.
- Actions expressed as pairs of scene and illocution schema.



 $obliged(!x, pay(!buyer\_id:b, !y: bac, sale(!good\_id, !buyer\_id, !price)), buyer\_settlements)$ 







# Producers







# Network



### Consumers









#### The scenario:



- Periodic auctions of transmission rights in form of **tickets** valid for the injection or extraction of energy over half an hour periods.
- Double auction.
- Offer is greater than the demand.



#### The scenario:



- Secondary market for the trading of transmision tickets.
- Lasts until the "gate closure".
- Negotiation process.



#### The scenario:



Allows the SO to maintain the voltage level and dynamic security.
The SO can identify shortfalls or excesses of energy that will arise in the ticket window.

• The SO can: (i) dispatch additional generation, (ii) back-off scheduled generation.



#### The scenario:



• Consumers pay producers for the power consumed.

**Overview** 



- Introduction
- II. Specifying electronic institutions
- III. Running electronic institutions
- V. Electronic Institutions Development Environment
- V. Virtual Institutions
- VI. Conclusions



# EXECUTION STATE: $\Omega$





# **Electronic Institution Infrastructure**





# AMELI functionalities

# MEDIATION

• To facilitate agent communication within scenes.

# COORDINATION AND ENFORCEMENT

- To guarantee the correct evolution of each scene.
- To guarantee legal movements between scenes.
- To control the obligations participating agents acquire and fulfil.

# INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

• To facilitate the information agents need in the institution.



### **AMELI** architecture





### AMELI implementation features



**Overview** 



- Introduction
- II. Specifying electronic institutions
- III. Running electronic institutions
- V. Electronic Institutions Development Environment
- V. Conclusions



# Electronic Institutions Development Environment









# - Goal: To ease agent development

• Approach:

- Graphical specification of an agent's inner behaviour
- Automatic generation of agent skeletons.
- Agent architecture based on tasks and performances
  - Performance Actions whithin a particular scene
  - Task Sequence of performances related by a performative structure path





- Goal: To verify dynamic properties of Els
- Approach:
  - To run discrete event simulations
  - Support the simulation of Electronic Institutions with different agent populations.
  - What if analysis

**Overview** 



- Introduction
- II. Specifying electronic institutions
- III. Running electronic institutions
- V. Electronic Institutions Development Environment
- V. Conclusions





- Engineering open multi-agent systems is a highly complex task.
- Electronic institutions reduce this complexity by introducing normative (regulatory) environments.
- We have presented an Electronic Institutions
   Development Environment (EIDE) that facilitates the deployment of electronic institutions.
- EIDE targeted at supporting environment engineering in open multi-agent systems.

# Integrating human users.The Vision





39



### Social Virtual World







 IIIA Researchers: Carles Sierra, Juan Antonio Rodriguez-Aguilar, Josep Lluis Arcos, Pablo Noriega

 IIIA developers: Bruno Rosell, David de la Cruz, Guifre Cuni